August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

## 2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: LA FERIA ISD

Campus Name: LA FERIA ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL Grade Span: 08 - 12

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disad
	At-Risk					
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skilla (TA	KS) Progre	ee (Gradee 3	3_12)		
_	DKIIID (IR	mb) Flogie	ss (Grades S	)-12 <i>)</i>		
Analysis Groups Evaluated		х		x		х
2006-07 Progress Measure		Λ		Λ		Α.
# Tests Met Standard	1,932	26	*	25	*	17
# Tests	2,643	49	*	48	*	38
% Met Standard	73%	53%	*	52%	*	45%
			*	98%	*	78%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	•	98%	•	78%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	1,791	37	*	37	*	20
# Tests	2,463	62	*	61	*	37
% Met Standard	73%	60%	*	61%	*	54%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					
Actual Change	0	-7	*	-9	*	-9
Improvement Required	_	-	_	_	_	_
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess	ment II (9					
<del>-</del>	mene ii (b	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated	merre II (s	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results						
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results				n/a n/a	n/a n/a	,
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	*	n/a	,	,	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	* * 29%	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	* * 29%	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 29% * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 29% * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a ?	* * 29% * * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements Actual Change	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 29% * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a ?	* * 29% * * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

## 2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: LA FERIA ISD

LA FERIA ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL Grade Span: 08 - 12 Campus Name:

Campus Number: 031905002 % At-Risk: 100

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.
SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, s

	District	<u>marked wi</u> All	African			Econ
	At-Risk			Hispanic	White	Disady
ompletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated	x	x				
Class of 2006						
# Completers	81	12	0	12	0	8
# Non-completers	14	9	0	9	0	8
# in Class	95	21	0	21	0	16
Completion Rate	85.3%	57.1%	-	57.1%	-	50.09
Student Group %	n/a	100%	0%	100%	0%	769
Class of 2005						
# Completers	92	16	0	15	*	9
# in Class	99	18	0	17	*	11
Completion Rate	92.9%	88.9%	-	88.2%	*	81.89
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements:		Y				
Actual Change	-7.6	-31.8	-	-31.1	*	-31.8
Improvement Required	-	-7.0	-	-	-	-
Met Required Improvement?		N				
Decreases in completion rates may beginning with the 2005-06 school		o significa	ant changes	in the dro	pout def:	inition
nnual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		х		x		x
2005-06						
# Dropouts	n/a	36	0	36	*	18
# Students in Grades 7-12	n/a	98	0	96	2	60
		25 -2				

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

36.7%

100%

37.5%

98%

0%

30.0%

61%

Dropout Rate

Student Group %

n/a

n/a

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.